Introduction

Efficient and accurate Bayesian inference using Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods in the big data case is an open For observations $\{x_i\}_n$ and an additive log likelihood ($\log p(x|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i|\theta)$) [2] showed we can produce an unbichallenge. Recently, [1] proposed Energy Conserving Subsampling (ECS) for Bayesian inference with Hamiltonian ased estimate of the log likelihood by Monte Carlo (HMC) on subsets of data. However, their method for subsampling requires computing a memory intensive control variate based on a second order Taylor expansion. To avoid this, we propose a novel control variate we call Variational ECS (VECS). VECS uses an approximate posterior for likelihood estimation, thus preventing the quadratic expansion of feature space. However, VECS currently fails to effectively incorporate the state of the Markov chain. where $s \sim U$ is a simple random subsample of data indices and θ). **Experiments** [1] uses a taylor expansion as a proxy *)^T $(\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log p)(x_i | \theta^*)(\theta - \theta^*),$ where θ^* is MAP estimate. Note that if we can compute $\sum_i q_{x_i}(\theta)$ in time proportional to $d^s(\theta)$, we obtain an log likelihood For experimentation we use logistic regression on a subset (1.5M examples) of the Higgs dataset. estimator with time complexity proportional to the subsample size. d [9] firat The es oposed improving this estimator by SVI losses $\mathbb{E}[heta]$ 8 1<u>e6</u> (1) — MAP guide 0.0 Diag Normal guide which assumes $\log \hat{p}(\theta|x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\log \hat{p}(\theta|x), \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is the population variance. [2] showed that with $\log \hat{\sigma}^2(heta) = \left(rac{n}{m}
ight)^2 \sum_i \left(d_{s_i}(heta) - \bar{d}_{s_i}(heta)
ight)^2,$ -2.5 $Var[\theta]$ and the proxy expanded around the posterior mode (θ^*) the error of the inferred posterior is $O(\frac{1}{mm^2})$. hmc 0.0002 — hmcecs — vecs Variational Energy Conserving Subsampling •••••••••••••••••••••• 2000 3000 1000 0.0000 0 Let $p(x, \theta)$ be a latent model with a prior $\pi(\theta)$ and Q be a family of parameteric variational distributions over $\theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, then 125 we can infer $q_{\psi}^*(\theta|x) \in \mathcal{Q} \approx p(\theta|x)$ using VI by optimizing ψ . So we have 200 Sec $p(x|\theta) \approx \log p(x) + \log q_{\psi}^*(\theta|x) - \log \pi(\theta)$ (2) 75 ntime From tl elihood we have 50 $-\log q_{\psi}^*(\phi|x)].$ (3)×----× 25 Substituting Equation (3) into Equation (2) and considering the contribution of $x_i \in x$ we obtain 100000 200000 $\log p(x_i|\theta) \ge \mathbb{E}_{\phi \sim q_{\psi}^*}[\log p(x_i|\phi)] + \mathbb{E}_{\phi \sim q_{\psi}^*}[\log \pi(\phi)] - \log \pi(\theta) + \log q_{\psi}^*(\theta|x) - \mathbb{E}_{\phi \sim q_{\psi}^*}[q_{\psi}^*(\phi|x)] \equiv q(x_i,\theta)$ (4) subsample size which is our variational likelihood proxy. We can precompute $(\forall x_i)q_{\psi_x}^*[\log p(x_i|\phi)]$ to make the complexity of $\sum_i q(x_i,\theta)$ proportional to $d_s(\theta)$.





References

[1] Khue-Dung Dang, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, Tran Minh-Ngoc, and Mattias Villani. Hamiltonian monte carlo with energy conserving subsampling. Journal of machine learning research, 20, 2019. [2] Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, Mattias Villani, and Minh-Ngoc Tran. Speeding up mcmc by efficient data subsampling. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 2018. [3] DM Ceperley and Mark Dewing. The penalty method for random walks with uncertain energies. The Journal of chemical physics, 110(20):9812–9820, 1999.

VARIATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVING SUBSAMPLING Ola Rønning¹, Thomas Hamelryck¹

¹ University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Perturbed method

$$\log \hat{p}_s(x|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n q(x_i, \theta) + d_s(\theta)$$

$$d_s(\theta) = \frac{1}{|s|} \sum_{i=1}^{|s|} p_{s_i}(x_{s_i}|\theta) - q(x_{s_i},$$

$$q(x_i, \theta) = \log p(x_i|\theta^*) + (\nabla_\theta \log p)(x_i|\theta^*)(\theta - \theta^*) + (\theta - \theta^*)$$

stimator
$$\log \hat{p}_s(x|\theta)$$
 is a biased estimator of the likelihood. [3] first pro $\hat{L}(\theta) = \exp\left(\hat{l}(\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}^2(\theta)\right),$

$$p(\theta|x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{p(x)} \approx q_{\psi}^{*}(\theta|x) \implies p(x|\theta) \approx \frac{p(x)q_{\psi}^{*}(\theta|x)}{\pi(\theta)} \implies \log p(x)$$

he evidence lower bound (ELBO) and assuming an additive log-like $\log p(x|\phi)$

$$\log p(x) \ge \mathbb{E}_{\phi \sim q_{\psi}^*} \left[\sum_{i} \log p(x_i | \phi) + \log \pi(\phi) - \sum_{i} \log p(x_i | \phi) \right] = 0$$





